

The RFP Scope of Services incorporated a list of items including the following:

- *high level of public participation to include multiple design charrettes and public involvement meetings*
 - While a 'high level of public participation' would be indicated in reading through how the process was carried out; it was, in A fact, very limited.
 1. Stakeholders were identified, but not all were interviewed.
 2. A community advisory committee was formed before all stakeholders were identified.
 3. Some stakeholders who requested to be on the committee were denied or ignored.
 4. Comments were taken at the end of all three committee meetings, but they were timed and the time limit was strictly adhered to.
- *multiple design alternatives incorporating new and innovative ideas*
 1. The only design considered was one crossing both the river and the railroad tracks.
 1. There were no innovative designs considered in the design of the bridge.
 2. The only innovative ideas considered were for roundabouts after Friends of Red Bridge suggested them.
 3. Other ideas suggested by Friends of Red Bridge were ignored.
- *an incorporation of the aesthetic elements from the surrounding community*
 1. The proposed bridge is still a freeway style bridge. It will simply now have 'clip-art' on the sides in reminiscence of the trail.
- *ideas on limiting the corridor's use by tractor trailer semi trucks*
 1. The only idea offered is to limit the number of lanes which would discourage trucks.
- *consider information obtained by the previous study*
 1. The previous study was never mentioned.